In silico **identification of switching nodes in metabolic networks**

Francis Mairet

Ifremer, PHYTOX, Laboratoire PHYSALG, F-44000 Nantes, France.

Correspondence: francis.mairet@ifremer.fr

ABSTRACT ¹

Cells modulate their metabolism according to environmental conditions. A major challenge to better understand metabolic regulation is to identify, from the hundreds or thousands of molecules, the key metabolites where the re-orientation of fluxes occurs. Here, a method called ISIS (for *In Silico* Identification of Switches) is proposed to locate these nodes in a metabolic network, based on the analysis of a set of flux vectors (obtained e.g. by parsimonious flux balance analysis with different inputs). A metabolite is considered as a switch if the fluxes at this point are redirected in a different way when conditions change. The soundness of ISIS is shown with four case studies, using both core and genome-scale metabolic networks of *Escherichia coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Through these examples, we show that ISIS can identify hot-spots where fluxes are reoriented. Additionally, switch metabolites are deeply involved in post-translational modification of proteins, showing their importance in cellular regulation. In *P. tricornutum*, we show that Erythrose 4-phosphate is an important switch metabolite for mixotrophy suggesting the importance of this metabolite in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway to orchestrate the flux variations between glycolysis, the Calvin cycle and the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway when the trophic mode changes. Finally, a comparison between ISIS and reporter metabolites identified with transcriptomic data confirms the key role of metabolites such as L-glutamate or L-aspartate in the yeast response to nitrogen input variation. Overall, ISIS opens up new possibilities for studying cellular metabolism and regulation, as well as potentially for developing metabolic engineering.

 $\overline{2}$

Keywords: Genome-scale metabolic model; Branching point; Reporter metabolites; Flux Balance Analysis ²¹

Introduction ²²

Despite huge developments in the last decades, deciphering cellular metabolism remains a great challenge, as essential for a wide range of fields (including biotechnology, health, ecology, etc.). The analysis of metabolic ₂₄ networks plays an important role in addressing this issue. More and more networks are now available, with ₂₅ a more complete coverage of the metabolism [\[10\]](#page-14-0). There are also a growing number of methods for ana-lyzing and exploiting these networks [\[21,](#page-14-1) [30\]](#page-15-0). Among them, one of the most common approaches is Flux $\frac{27}{27}$ Balance Analysis (FBA), which aims to predict the metabolic fluxes [\[23\]](#page-15-1). This method corresponds to a linear 28 programming problem, where one wants to maximize or minimize an objective function such as biomass $_{29}$ synthesis or ATP production, given steady-state conditions and a set of flux constraints on e.g. substrate uptake. \Box 30

31

41

52

Although FBA and all its derivatives have been successfully used, the size of the networks (now often with 32 several thousands metabolites and reactions) makes their analysis or even the exploitation and visualization $\frac{3}{3}$ of the results more and more complex [\[16,](#page-14-2) [6\]](#page-13-0). To help explore these networks, the identification of key $\frac{34}{4}$ metabolites is a major concern [\[31\]](#page-15-2). Here, we will focus on the nodes in the networks where the fluxes are $\frac{1}{35}$ redirected when culture conditions vary. Actually, the cellular response to environmental changes such as $\frac{36}{10}$ nutrient inputs leads to a reorganization of metabolism, which can be difficult to map given the scale of the $\frac{3}{2}$ network. By highlighting where the main changes in metabolism occur, the identified switching nodes will give $\frac{38}{10}$ us a better picture of cellular response to environmental variations and could help in the modelling, monitoring ₃₉ or control of cell systems.

The identification of key metabolites has been the subject of many studies (e.g. [\[19,](#page-14-3) [14,](#page-14-4) [27,](#page-15-3) [17\]](#page-14-5)), although 42 there is no general agreement about the definition of what a key metabolite is. Following approaches developped for network analysis, key metabolites can be defined by their degree, i.e. the number of reactions in 44 which they are involved [\[31\]](#page-15-2). Other aspect of the network topology can also be used, such as node centrality $_{45}$ [\[19\]](#page-14-3). On the other hand, [\[14,](#page-14-4) [17\]](#page-14-5) have focused on essentiality: a metabolite is essential if biomass cannot be 46 produced if this metabolite is removed from the metabolic network. More in line with my vision of switch 47 points, i.e. a point where the fluxes are reoriented in response to environmental changes, [\[24\]](#page-15-4) provide a useful 48 method to identify the so-called reporter metabolites. They correspond to nodes around which the enzymes 49 are subject to the most significant transcriptional changes. Nonetheless, reporter metabolites are identified from experimental data and a purely theoretical method (based only on the metabolic network) is still lacking. $\frac{51}{12}$

Here, I propose to identify switch nodes based on the analysis of a set of flux solutions under different $\frac{1}{33}$ conditions, obtained e.g. by parsimonious flux balance analysis (pFBA) [\[18\]](#page-14-6). The key idea is to determine $\frac{54}{18}$ mathematically the metabolites around which the most significant metabolic rewirings occur. To do so, for each $\frac{1}{55}$ metabolite, we consider the flux vectors (including stoichiometry) of the reactions involving this metabolite (as 56 a substrate or a product) for all the conditions. The metabolite is considered as a switch node if the dimension 57 of the vector space generated by this set is greater than one, i.e. if the flux vectors involving this metabolite for $\frac{1}{58}$ different conditions are not co-linear, reflecting a reorientation of the fluxes in response to changing conditions. ₅₉ After explaining in details how the switches are identified, the core metabolic network of *Escherichia coli* will be ⁶⁰ used to illustrate the method. Then, we will show that ISIS brings out metabolites involved in post-translational 61 modification (PTM) of proteins in *E. coli*. ISIS will also be used to decipher how metabolism is impacted by 62 trophic modes in the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*, and by nitrogen limitation for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, ⁶³ in comparison with the reporter metabolite method. Finally, we will assess the robustness of ISIS with respect 64 to flux sampling. $\frac{65}{25}$

Figure 1. Principle for switch node identification in metabolic networks. The circles x_i and the arrows v_j represent respectively metabolites and reactions. The colored bars show reaction fluxes (computed e.g. by pFBA) for different conditions (each color represents a condition). Case A: the redistribution of fluxes around metabolite x_1 occurs always in the same way, i.e. the flux vectors are collinear, so x_1 is not a switch point. Case B: the incoming flux is rerouted according to conditions, so x_1 is a switch point.

Results ⁶⁶

ISIS principle ⁶⁷

Our objective is to identify switch nodes in a metabolic network, corresponding to key metabolites where $\frac{1}{68}$ flux reorientations occur when environmental conditions change. ISIS is based on the analysis of a set of flux 69 vectors for a range of environmental conditions (e.g. different inputs, different objective functions reflecting \bar{p} different metabolic stages, etc.). A metabolite is considered as a switch if the fluxes at this point are redirected $\frac{1}{21}$ in a different way when conditions change. This is illustrated in Figure [1.](#page-2-0) On the top, the fluxes around π metabolite x_1 are distributed always in the same way (i.e. one third of the incoming flux v_1 goes to v_2 , the v_3 remaining goes to v_3), so x_1 is not a switch node. On the contrary, in the bottom example, the incoming flux v_4 is rerouted according to conditions, so x_1 is considered in this case as a switch point. This simple principle $\frac{1}{25}$ can be evaluated numerically using linear algebra (see Section Method), by evaluating the dimension of the vector space generated by the set of reaction flux vectors. More precisely, a singular value decomposition is π carried out and a score between zero and one is computed from the singular values. A score of zero means $\frac{1}{8}$ that the vectors are collinear (Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) on top): the fluxes are always distributed in the same way. This already $\frac{1}{2}$ concerns all the metabolites involved in only two reactions. The higher the score, the more the metabolite \Box can be considered a switch. All metabolites are then ranked according to their score, to highlight the most $\frac{1}{81}$ significant ones on a case-by-case basis. 82 and 32 an

A toy example: *E. coli* **under aerobic vs anaerobic conditions** ⁸³

The principle of ISIS is first illustrated by studying the transition from aerobic to anaerobic conditions in *E.* 84 *coli*. We use its core metabolic model, composed of 72 metabolites and 95 reactions [\[22\]](#page-14-7), and estimate flux vectors with and without oxygen using pFBA (see Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). The switching scores of all the metabolites are given in ⁸⁶ SI1. ISIS has identified as switch nodes the junctions of glycolysis with the TCA cycle (pyruvate and acetyl-coA) $\frac{87}{100}$ and with the oxydative pentose phosphate pathway (glucose 6-phosphate). This is in line with what we could as expect given that these last two pathways are shut down in absence of oxygen [\[28,](#page-15-5) [22\]](#page-14-7). We also observed that $\frac{89}{9}$ several currency metabolites (e.g. ATP, NADPH), involved in many reactions, are also identified as switch points. ₉₀ Most of these metabolites have already been recognized on the basis of their degree [\[19\]](#page-14-3) or centrality [\[31\]](#page-15-2). On $_{91}$ the other hand, some metabolites with a high degree or centrality such as glutamate [\[31\]](#page-15-2) have a low score with $_{92}$ ISIS when focusing on aerobic vs anaerobic growth. This simple example shows the soundness and capacity of $\frac{93}{2}$ ISIS to identify nodes around which the metabolism is rerouted taking into account the specific conditions laid $_{94}$ $down.$ $down.$

ISIS brings out metabolites involved in protein PTM in *E. coli* **96**

Given that the switch metabolites are by definition nodes where fluxes are reoriented, these metabolites $\frac{1}{2}$ can potentially be involved in cellular regulations, such as PTM. To investigate this aspect, we follow [\[4\]](#page-13-1), by $\frac{98}{100}$ considering the growth of *E. coli* under 174 different nutrient inputs. Using a network composed of 2382 ⁹⁹ reactions and 1668 metabolites $[8]$, we first define the flux vectors for each input using pFBA. We then analyze all these fluxes with ISIS. The list of the switching points is given in SI2. Among the top score, we find key $_{101}$ branching points between the main pathways, such as Fructose 6-Phosphate, Pyruvate, Acetyl-CoA, etc. 102

On Fig. [3,](#page-5-0) we plot the proportion of metabolites known to be ligands of protein (i.e. involved in PTM), accord- 103 ing to their switching score. Ligands are clearly enriched among the top switching metabolites (hypergeometric 104 test, p=6.10 $^{-8}$). For the class of metabolites with the highest scores (>0.3), 74% of the metabolites are ligands, $^{-105}$ compared to 25% for the class with the lowest scores $(0.1).$

Based on a comparison of FBA fluxes, [\[4\]](#page-13-1) have identified highly regulated reactions, which appear to account 107 for a significant proportion of the known proteins with PTM. By contrast, here we clearly show the key role of 108

4

Figure 2. Switch nodes for *E. coli* under aerobic vs anaerobic conditions. Overview of metabolic fluxes under aerobic (A) and anaerobic (B) condition (see C for the name of metabolites and reactions). C: Comparison between these two conditions. Switch nodes (dark dots) have been identified at the junctions of glycolysis with the TCA cycle (pyruvate and acetyl-coA) and with pentose phosphate pathway (glucose 6-phosphate).

Figure 3. Percentage of metabolites identified as ligand in protein PTM as a function of their scores in ISIS for *E. coli*, based on the fluxes for 174 different inputs with the genome-scale metabolic network iAF1260 [\[8\]](#page-14-8). Metabolites identified as switch point are much more involved in PTM, highlighting their role in cellular regulation.

the switching metabolites identified by ISIS in protein PTM. Overall, the identification of switch nodes could $_{109}$ help in deciphering the complex roles of metabolites in the regulation of protein activity [\[32\]](#page-15-6).

Shedding light on mixotrophy in the diatom *P. tricornutum* ¹¹¹

We study the effect of trophic mode in *P. tricornutum*. The fluxes for autotrophic, mixotrophic and het-erotrophic^{[1](#page-5-1)} growths have been estimated in [\[13\]](#page-14-9), considering a genome-scale metabolic network composed of 113 587 metabolites and 849 reactions. This study highlighted the importance of flux rerouting between chloro- ¹¹⁴ plasts and mitochondria, depending on the trophic mode. Using this set of fluxes, ISIS identifies as switch 115 nodes glycerone-P (dihydroxyacetone phosphate), fructose-6-phosphate, fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, fumarate, ¹¹⁶ pyruvate, etc. (see SI 3). These metabolites are key branching points between chloroplast and mitochondria 117 (as shown in Fig. 1 from [\[13\]](#page-14-9)). Additionally, we also identify erythrose 4-phosphate, which is actually a major $_{118}$ hub of the metabolism to balance the fluxes between the main pathways (in particular glycolysis, the Calvin 119 cycle and the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway). Thus, ISIS contributes to our understanding of trophic 120 modes by suggesting the overlooked role of a key metabolite in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway 121 in orchestrating changes between the energetic pathways. The energy state of the e

ISIS identifies reporter metabolites for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **under nitrogen limi-** ¹²³ **tation** 124 and 124

Given that our definition of switch nodes is close to reporter metabolites [\[24\]](#page-15-4), we compare both methods, $_{125}$ using [\[29\]](#page-15-7) as a case study. To do so, we consider the growth of *S. cerevisiae* under three different nitrogen 126 limitation: ammonium (NH $_4^+$), alanine (Ala), and glutamine (Gln). For each input, the flux vectors are computed $_{\rm 127}$ with pFBA using the metabolic network YeastGEM v8.1.1 composed of 2241 metabolites and 3520 reactions 128 [\[12\]](#page-14-10). ISIS is then applied by paire-wise comparison (Gln vs NH $_4^+$, Ala vs NH $_4^+$, and Ala vs Gln), following what $_{125}$ have been done in [\[29\]](#page-15-7). The score of all the metabolites for the three comparisons are given in SI 4. Switch $_{130}$ metabolites with a score above 0.1, corresponding to between 11 and 16 metabolites out of 2241, are shown $_{131}$ in Fig. [5](#page-8-0) together with the ten first reporter metabolites given in [\[29\]](#page-15-7). Many of the switch metabolites, $_{132}$ common between the different cases, are involved in amino acid synthesis. We also observe that reporter 133 metabolites are enriched among the top switch metabolites (hypergeometric test, p=0.048, 0.061, and 3.10⁻⁵ 134 for respectively Gln vs NH $_4^+$, Ala vs NH $_4^+$, and Ala vs Gln). When comparing Ala versus Gln limitations, glutamate, \quad $_{135}$ aspartate and 2-oxoglutarate are depicted with both methods. The first two metabolites are closely related to 136

¹ mimicking night-time metabolism, as *P. tricornutum* do not grow normally on glucose.

Figure 4. Metabolic fluxes in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway for different trophic modes in the diatom *P. tricornutum*. Alongside dihydroxyacetone phosphate (dhap) and fructose-6-phosphate (f6p), erythrose 4-phosphate (e4p) appears as a key hub to balance the fluxes between glycolysis, the Calvin cycle and the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway, showing the role of the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway in orchestrating the energy balance of the cell.

the sources of nitrogen. The last one points out the importance of the TCA cycle in the synthesis of amino acids, 137 as reported in [\[29\]](#page-15-7). Finally, several reporter metabolites - in particular those highlighted in [29] - appears in the 138 top of the switch metabolite list (see SI 4), even if they do not appear in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) For example, in the comparison 139 between Ala versus NH $_4^+$, the reporter metabolites pyruvate and Acetyl-CoA are ranked respectively 19 and $_{\rm 140}$ 46 over 2241 metabolites by ISIS. On the other hand, some reporter metabolites are not identified as switch, 141 pointing out some differences between the two approaches. As a striking example, allantoin was reported as $_{142}$ the reporter metabolite with the highest score in the Ala vs NH $_4^+$ comparison, without however figuring out $_{\rm 143}$ why it emerges. This metabolite is involved in only two reactions in our metabolic network, so it cannot be $_{144}$ identified as a metabolic switch by ISIS. Other reporter metabolites present a low switch score, such as those $_{145}$ involved in lipid metabolism in the Gln vs NH4 comparison. This suggests that the nitrogen input change leads 146 to a modification of lipid metabolism that pFBA does not predict.

To conclude, this case study shows that some key metabolites can be identified *in silico*, without requiring ¹⁴⁸ experiments. ISIS can also complement the reporter metabolite approach, which requires transcriptomic data. ₁₄₉ Comparison between the two methods can confirm the role of a number of key metabolites and identify $_{150}$ doubts about some of them, or more indirect effects that are difficult to predict. ¹⁵¹

Robustness to flux sampling ¹⁵²

The identification of switch points is based on a set of flux vectors obtained in silico. Nonetheless, different 153 solutions can give the same objective, even with pFBA. In the following, we explore how this variability can 154 impact the identification of switch points. Instead of taking one solution per condition, we sample the flux $_{155}$ spaces and compute switch scores for several combinations of flux vectors chosen randomly. We test this 156 approach with two previous case studies: the core metabolic network of *E. coli* with or without oxygen and 157 the genome-scale network of *S. cerevisiae* with different nitrogen sources. In the first case, the switch scores 158 obtained with flux sampling are very similar to those with pFBA (see Fig. [6](#page-9-0) ans SI 5). Nonetheless, they show a 159 high standard deviation, reflecting the effect of flux sampling, although this does not affect switch identification. 160 Given the small size of this network, the flux variability is limited so the switch points remain the same. For the $_{161}$ genome-scale network of *S. cerevisiae*, the results are more different. Figure [6](#page-9-0) shows the comparison for Ala vs ¹⁶² Gln. The other cases are given in SI 7 and 8, as well as the list of switch metabolites in SI 6. On one hand, most $_{163}$ of the switch points identified previously have still a high score (e.g. L-glutamate and 2-oxoglutarate for Ala vs $_{164}$ Gln), pointing out their key role in redistributing fluxes. On the other hand, several metabolites that were not 165 considered as switch points present a high score when considering flux sampling. However, it is necessary to assess the relevance of these new candidates. Large-scale network present several variants of the same 167 pathway, which creates multiple switches if for each condition a different variant is used for the same pathway. 168 For example, in lipid synthesis, many reactions can be interchanged, including alterations of compartments. ₁₆₉ This results in the emergence of numerous lipid intermediates with high switching scores that are not relevant 170 for the change of condition under investigation (see Fig. SI 9). Exploring the flux space is therefore useful to 171 confirm the identification of switch points, but it also leads to switch point candidates that warrant further 172 examination. The contract of t

Discussions ¹⁷⁴

A method - called ISIS - has been proposed to identify switch nodes, i.e. metabolites around which fluxes are 175 rerouted when environmental conditions change. These points are determined *in silico* using linear algebra: the ¹⁷⁶ reaction fluxes involving the metabolite under different conditions generate a vector space whose dimension 177 reflects whether a reorientation of fluxes occurs at this node. The method is fast and scalable, e.g. it takes just 178 a few seconds with a standard computer for a metabolic network of a few thousand reactions. ISIS gives sound 179 results on the different case studies developed in this article. As a proof of principles, by comparing aerobic $_{180}$ and anaerobic conditions in *E. coli*, ISIS has identified the metabolites at the junction of the main pathways, ¹⁸¹

Figure 5. The main switch nodes identified by ISIS for Saccharomyces cerevisiae under nitrogen limitation, in comparison with reporter metabolites computed from transcriptomic data [29].

Figure 6. Comparison of the switch scores obtained with pFBA (on the x-axis) versus flux sampling (on the yaxis, with error bars showing standard deviation). A significant correlation was observed for the core metabolic network of *E. coli* with or without oxygen (left, Spearman correlation: $\rho = 0.82$, $p = 5.10^{-19}$), but not for the genome scale network of *S. cerevisiae* with different nitrogen sources (right, for Ala vs Gln, Spearman correlation: $\rho = 0.26$, $p = 3.10^{-43}$).

including pyruvate as one can expect [\[28\]](#page-15-5). A further analysis for the same species with 174 different substrates 182 revealed that metabolites with the highest switching score are particularly involved in cellular regulation 183 via PTM. Another important finding concerns the diatom *P. tricornutum*. When considering different trophic ¹⁸⁴ modes, metabolic modifications occur at different nodes to maintain the energetic balance, in particular in the 185 non-oxydative pentose phosphate pathway with Erythrose 4-phosphate.

187

The analysis of metabolic networks has been the subject of several developments. Among them, a method 188 which, like ISIS, analyses the dependencies between the fluxes is flux coupling analysis [\[5\]](#page-13-2). Two reactions $_{189}$ are fully coupled if a flux for one of these reactions implies a fixed flux for the other, and vice versa. If all 190 the reactions in which a metabolite is involved belong to a fully coupled set, that metabolite is not a switch. 191 Flux coupling analysis can therefore be used to eliminate candidate metabolites, but does not provide a $_{192}$ sufficient condition for identifying switches. Several methods dealing with the identification of key metabolites 193 have also been proposed (e.g. [\[19,](#page-14-3) [14,](#page-14-4) [27,](#page-15-3) [17\]](#page-14-5)). A comparison between them is not straightforward, given $_{194}$ that the definition of key metabolites is not necessarily the same between the different studies. One of the 195 closer definition is that of reporter metabolites [\[24\]](#page-15-4). The results on a case study with *S. cerevisiae* have shown ¹⁹⁶ similarities, confirming the role of some metabolites such as L-glutamate or L-aspartate as switch in response 197 to different nitrogen inputs. Nonetheless, other reporter metabolites have low switching score. Metabolic 198 simulations predict that no reorientation of fluxes occur at these nodes, while changes of gene expression are 199 observed around it. This calls for future work to clarify the discrepancy on the role of these metabolites and to $_{200}$ ascertain whether metabolic alterations occur at these points. 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2017

The main advantage of ISIS, in particular in comparison with the reporter metabolite approach, is that it $_{202}$ does not require experimental data. The downside is that it entirely relies on flux estimations, which suffers 203 from uncertainties at different levels (in the network reconstruction, in the definition of the biomass or the $_{204}$ objective function, in the computation of fluxes...) [\[3\]](#page-13-3). A first step to overcome this limitation is to use ISIS $_{205}$ with flux sampling, as illustrated here with *E. coli* under aerobic vs anaerobic conditions and *S. cerevisiae* under ₂₀₆ nitrogen limitations. This approach could confirm the role of some switch metabolites, but new candidates can $_{207}$ also appear, particularly in large-scale networks, with possibly some false positives. This points out that the ₂₀₈ flux quality can strongly affect overall results. These estimations can benefit from all the recent progresses ₂₀₉ in metabolic network reconstruction and constraint-based modeling [\[7\]](#page-13-4), in particular with the development $_{210}$ of enzyme constraint or resource allocation methods [\[9,](#page-14-11) [33\]](#page-15-8). Additionally, the soundness of flux estimations $_{211}$ can potentially be increased by integrating experimental data (e.g. transcriptomic, proteomic, fluxomic) [\[25\]](#page-15-9), ₂₁₂ although losing the ease of a purely *in silico* approach. ²¹³

Given the crucial role of switch metabolites in cellular metabolism, several applications can be considered. ₂₁₅ First, ISIS could be used to select key metabolites to monitor when studying the response of an organism to a change of environment. In the same vein, theses switch nodes can also be useful to study cellular regulations, ²¹⁷ as already illustrated by the high proportion of switch metabolites involved in PTM. The identification of ₂₁₈ switch points would also be of great interest in biotechnology: they correspond to potential targets to reorient 219 the metabolism of the cell for a given purpose (such as the production of a metabolite of interest), either by $_{220}$ controlling environmental conditions or by genetic manipulations. Finally, ISIS could also be used to decompose $_{221}$ the whole network into different modules connecting the switch nodes, in order to analyze the metabolism $_{222}$ or to develop dynamical model as proposed in [\[2,](#page-13-5) [1\]](#page-13-6). This would give a new approach for network splitting, ₂₂₃ complementing a set of methods (reviewed in [\[26\]](#page-15-10)) based on network topology, flux coupling, or elementary $_{224}$ flux mode. A specificity of our approach in that case is that the set of selected conditions defines the node $_{225}$ identification. Thus, the same metabolic network can be decomposed in different ways depending on which conditions are considered.

To conclude, the metabolites around which fluxes are switched in response to environmental changes $_{229}$ are key points in the metabolic network. By identifying them *in silico*, ISIS allows a better comprehension of ₂₃₀ cellular metabolism and regulation, as highlighted in this article with the studies of *E. coli*, *S. cerevisiae*, and *P.* ²³¹ *tricornutum* under different substrate inputs or trophic modes. This method can be easily applied to many ₂₃₂ organisms, as it only requires their metabolic network. It offers several perspectives, from fundamental studies $_{233}$ on metabolism to biotechnology applications through metabolic engineering. ²³⁴

Method ²³⁵

Framework ²³⁶

The metabolism of a cell can be represented by its metabolic network, composed of n_m metabolites and n_v reactions. It is generally described by a stoichiometric matrix $S(n_m \times n_v)$, where each row corresponds to a metabolite and each column to a reaction. The metabolic fluxes through this network are given by the reaction rate vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}$. To estimate these fluxes, FBA makes two main assumptions [\[23\]](#page-15-1). First, the metabolism is considered at steady-state (corresponding to balanced growth condition):

$Sv = 0$.

Additionally, some bounds on the metabolic fluxes are defined:

$$
\underline{v} \le v \le \overline{v}.
$$

These bounds are used in particular to define nutrient inputs and to specify the reversibility of each reaction. ₂₃₇ Finally, an objective function to be maximized or minimized is considered (e.g. biomass synthesis or ATP ₂₃₈ production), defined by an objective vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}$. The metabolic fluxes are then the solution of a linear \Box optimization problem (also called LP problem, for Linear Programming), which can easily be solved numerically: $_{240}$

$$
\max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}} c \cdot v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{array}{|l} Sv = 0, \\ \underline{v} \le v \le \overline{v}. \end{array} \tag{1}
$$

One limitation of FBA is that it can have several solutions (with the same objective value). To tackle this problem, [\[18\]](#page-14-6) have proposed pFBA, which consists in two steps. First, FBA is used to find the optimal value ₂₄₃ of the objective function. Then, we determine the solution with the same objective value that minimizes the ₂₄₄ sum of fluxes. This tends to reduce the solution space, and it had been shown that it is consistent with gene ₂₄₅ expression measurements [\[18\]](#page-14-6). ²⁴⁶

 228

 214

241

ISIS principle ²⁴⁷

Switch nodes will be identified based on the analysis of a set of reaction fluxes under n_c different conditions, 248 e.g. different nutrient inputs. For each condition $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_c\}$, the cellular metabolism is characterized by $\frac{249}{2}$ the flux vector v^j obtained by pFBA (or another method). Each vector is normalized, by dividing by its quadratic z_{ss} norm, to give each condition the same weight in the analysis. 251

Then, for each metabolite i and each condition j , we consider the flux vector (including stoichiometry) of the reactions involving this metabolite (as a substrate or a product) in this condition, i.e.:

$$
S_{i,:}'\circ v^j
$$

where ∘ stands for the Hadamard product (i.e. element-wise). Now given all the conditions, we consider the vector space $M_i(n_v \times n_c)$ generated by all the flux vectors for metabolite *i*:

$$
M_i := S'_{i,:} \circ (v^1, \dots, v^{n_c})
$$

If the dimension of this vector space is greater than one, i.e. if the flux vectors involving this metabolite for $_{252}$ different conditions are not collinear, the metabolite is considered as a switch node (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

From a practical point of view, the dimension of M_i is evaluated by singular value decomposition (SVD), so we get:

$$
M_i = U_i \Lambda^i V_i^*,
$$

where $U_i(n_v\times n_v)$ and $V_i(n_c\times n_c)$ are unitary matrices and $\Lambda^i(n_v\times n_c)$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries $\Lambda^i_{k,k}$ correspond to the singular values of M_i (ordered in descending order). We compute a score which represents the significance of each switch node:

$$
r_i = 1 - \frac{\left(\Lambda_{1,1}^i\right)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \left(\Lambda_{k,k}^i\right)^2}.
$$

If all the vectors are collinear, then all the $\Lambda^i_{k,k}$ for $k>1$ are almost null, so $r_i\simeq 0.$ The closer the score is $^{-}$ $_{254}$ to one, the more the metabolite corresponds to a switch. The metabolites with the highest scores are finally ₂₅₅ s^{256} selected. 256

ISIS implementation

ISIS has been implemented under Python 3.10 within the COBRApy framework [\[11\]](#page-14-12). The vector fluxes for all $_{258}$ the conditions are computed with pFBA using as objective the maximization of biomass production. A loop on 259 all the metabolites is carried out, running for each metabolite the SVD and then computing the score. To speed $_{260}$ up the program, all the zero rows in M_i are removed before running the SVD. Finally, all the metabolites are $_{261}$ ordered following their scores. A threshold can be set above which the metabolite is considered a switch. This ₂₆₂ threshold must be adapted to each case study, in particular according to the number of conditions considered $_{263}$ which will affect the dimension of M_i and therefore the overall scores.

Case studies 265

ISIS has been carried out on four examples. Unless otherwise stated, the procedure described above was applied. Some specificities for each case study are given below. 267

E. coli **(core metabolic model)** ²⁶⁸

As a first case study, we consider the core metabolic network of *E. coli* [\[22\]](#page-14-7). We simulate growth on glucose ₂₆₉ in aerobic and anaerobic conditions (i.e. with or without oxygen). Figure [2,](#page-4-0) which compares the fluxes between $_{270}$ the two conditions and highlights the switch metabolites, was drawn with Escher [\[15\]](#page-14-13). 271

E. coli **(genome-scale model)** ²⁷²

E. coli network iAF1260 [\[8\]](#page-14-8) has been used with 174 different inputs, as done in [\[4\]](#page-13-1). ISIS was applied by $_{273}$ comparing all the conditions at the same time, i.e. $n_c = 174$. The objective was then to evaluate the role of switch metabolites in cellular regulation. If a metabolite is present in several compartments, its highest score is 275 considered. We then checked the presence of the metabolites in the list of all the metabolites involved in PTM, $_{276}$ taken from [\[4\]](#page-13-1) (Dataset S2). 277

P. tricornutum ²⁷⁸

301

The metabolic network of *P. tricornutum* was taken from [\[13\]](#page-14-9). Four different trophic modes were considered: ²⁷⁹ autotrophy, mixotrophy with or without inorganic carbon, and heterotrophy. The flux vectors, computed by $_{280}$ FBA coupled with Euclidean norm minimization, were taken from the same article (Table S1). 281

S. cerevisiae 282

The metabolic network YeastGEM v8.1.1 [\[12\]](#page-14-10) has been used, simulating aerobic growth on glucose with $_{283}$ three different nitrogen sources: ammonium, alanine, and glutamine. This mimicks the experiments carried ₂₈₄ out in [\[29\]](#page-15-7). The switch metabolites are computed by comparing the nitrogen sources two by two (i.e. $n_c = 2$), $_{285}$ to follow the aforementioned article. All the currency metabolites (ATP, NADPH, etc.) were removed to plot Fig. 286 [5,](#page-8-0) as they are not taken into account in [\[29\]](#page-15-7). For each case, the results are compared with the ten reporter 287 metabolites given in [\[29\]](#page-15-7). 288

Robustness to flux sampling ²⁸⁹

Instead of using just one vector flux, we sample the flux space for each condition using *optGpSampler* ²⁹⁰ algorithm [\[20\]](#page-14-14) from COBRApy, leading to n_s flux vectors per condition (we use typically $n_s=10^4$). We randomly $^{-291}$ define n_s combinations, taking one solution from the samples for each condition, and calculate the switching $_{292}$ scores of all metabolites for each combination. Finally, we calculate for each metabolite the mean and standard deviation of the score over all the combinations. The robustness analysis has been carried out for two of the 294 case studies: the *E. coli* core network and the *S. cerevisiae* genome-scale network. ²⁹⁵

Statistics ²⁹⁶

The hypergeometric test was used to test for over-representation of successes, i.e. ligand for *E. coli* and ₂₉₇ reporter metabolites for *S. cerevisiae*, in the list of identified switch metabolites. The hypergeometric p-value is ²⁹⁸ computed as the probability of randomly drawing k or more successes in n total draws (i.e. the number of 299 switch metabolites), from a population of N metabolites containing K successes. $\frac{300}{300}$

Spearman rank correlation coefficient (ρ) was computed to assess the relationship between the switch 302 scores obtained with pFBA versus those obtained with flux sampling.

Acknowledgements 304

The author thanks all those who provided fruitful comments during presentation of this work at $|OBIM$, 305 FOSBE, and *Algae in silico* meeting, as well as the reviewers. 306

Fundings 307

This work was supported by the LEFE Project HétéroMixo. **308** 208

Conflict of interest disclosure 309 The author declare that he complies with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to $\frac{310}{100}$ the content of the article. $\frac{311}{211}$ **Data, script, code, and supplementary information availability** $\frac{312}{312}$ All the codes (in Jupyter notebooks), data and SI are available at https://github.com/fmairet/ISIS. 313 • SI1: List of switch metabolites for *F. coli* under aerobic vs anaerobic conditions. • SI2: List of switch metabolites for *E. coli* under 174 diferent nutrient inputs. ³¹⁵ • SI3: List of switch metabolites for *P. tricornutum* under different trophic modes. ³¹⁶ • SI4: List of switch metabolites for *S. cerevisiae* under nitrogen limitation. • SI5: List of switch metabolites for *E. coli* with flux sampling. ³¹⁸ • SI6: List of switch metabolites for *S. cerevisiae* with flux sampling. ³¹⁹ • SI7: Figure. Comparison of the switch scores obtained with pFBA versus flux sampling for *S. cerevisiae* ³²⁰ under Gln vs NH $_4^+$. 321 • SI8: Figure. Comparison of the switch scores obtained with pFBA versus flux sampling for *S. cerevisiae* ³²² under Ala vs NH $_4^+$. The contract of the contrac • SI9: Figure. Alternative pathway can create false positive switch when using flux sampling, as illustrated ₃₂₄ here with an example concerning the study of *S. cerevisiae* under nitrogen limitation. 325 **References** 326 [1] Caroline Baroukh, Francis Mairet, and Olivier Bernard. The paradoxes hidden behind the Droop model 327 highlighted by a metabolic approach. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 2022. ³²⁸ [2] Caroline Baroukh, Rafael Muñoz-Tamayo, Jean-Philippe Steyer, and Olivier Bernard. DRUM: a new ₃₂₉ framework for metabolic modeling under non-balanced growth. Application to the carbon metabolism of ₃₃₀ unicellular microalgae. *PloS one*, 9(8):e104499, 2014. 331 [3] David B Bernstein, Snorre Sulheim, Eivind Almaas, and Daniel Segrè. Addressing uncertainty in genome- 332 scale metabolic model reconstruction and analysis. *Genome Biology*, 22:1-22, 2021. [4] Elizabeth Brunk, Roger L Chang, Jing Xia, Hooman Hefzi, James T Yurkovich, Donghyuk Kim, Evan Buckmiller, 334 Harris H Wang, Byung-Kwan Cho, Chen Yang, et al. Characterizing posttranslational modifications in ₃₃₅ prokaryotic metabolism using a multiscale workflow. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, ³³⁶ 115(43):11096–11101, 2018. ³³⁷ [5] Anthony P Burgard, Evgeni V Nikolaev, Christophe H Schilling, and Costas D Maranas. Flux coupling 338 analysis of genome-scale metabolic network reconstructions. *Genome research*, 14(2):301–312, 2004. ³³⁹ [6] Victor Chubukov, Luca Gerosa, Karl Kochanowski, and Uwe Sauer. Coordination of microbial metabolism. 340

[7] Xin Fang, Colton | Lloyd, and Bernhard O Palsson. Reconstructing organisms in silico: genome-scale ₃₄₂

models and their emerging applications. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 18(12):731–743, 2020. ³⁴³

14

Nature Reviews Microbiology, 12(5):327-340, 2014.

- [8] Adam M Feist, Christopher S Henry, Jennifer L Reed, Markus Krummenacker, Andrew R Joyce, Peter D 344 Karp, Linda J Broadbelt, Vassily Hatzimanikatis, and Bernhard Ø Palsson. A genome-scale metabolic recon- 345 struction for *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655 that accounts for 1260 ORFs and thermodynamic information. ³⁴⁶ *Molecular Systems Biology,* **3(1):121, 2007.** 347 **347** 347
- [9] Anne Goelzer and Vincent Fromion. Resource allocation in living organisms. *Biochemical Society Transac-* ³⁴⁸ *tions*, 45(4):945–952, 2017. ³⁴⁹
- [10] Changdai Gu, Gi Bae Kim, Won Jun Kim, Hyun Uk Kim, and Sang Yup Lee. Current status and applications ₃₅₀ of genome-scale metabolic models. *Genome biology*, 20(1):1–18, 2019.
- [11] Laurent Heirendt, Sylvain Arreckx, Thomas Pfau, Sebastian N Mendoza, Anne Richelle, Almut Heinken, ³⁵² Hulda S Haraldsdottir, Jacek Wachowiak, Sarah M Keating, Vanja Vlasov, et al. Creation and analysis of ₃₅₃ biochemical constraint-based models using the COBRA toolbox v. 3.0. *Nature protocols*, page 1, 2019. ³⁵⁴
- [12] Markus J Herrgård, Neil Swainston, Paul Dobson, Warwick B Dunn, K Yalcin Arga, Mikko Arvas, Nils 355 Blüthgen, Simon Borger, Roeland Costenoble, Matthias Heinemann, et al. A consensus yeast metabolic ³⁵⁶ network reconstruction obtained from a community approach to systems biology. Nature biotechnology, ₃₅₇ $26(10):1155, 2008.$ 358
- [13] Joomi Kim, Michele Fabris, Gino Baart, Min K Kim, Alain Goossens, Wim Vyverman, Paul G Falkowski, and 359 Desmond S Lun. Flux balance analysis of primary metabolism in the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. ³⁶⁰ **The Plant journal, 85(1):161–176, 2016.** 361
- [14] Pan-Jun Kim, Dong-Yup Lee, Tae Yong Kim, Kwang Ho Lee, Hawoong Jeong, Sang Yup Lee, and Sunwon ₃₆₂ Park. Metabolite essentiality elucidates robustness of *Escherichia coli* metabolism. *Proceedings of the* ³⁶³ *National Academy of Sciences*, 104(34):13638-13642, 2007. **364** 364
- [15] Zachary A King, Andreas Dräger, Ali Ebrahim, Nikolaus Sonnenschein, Nathan E Lewis, and Bernhard O 365 Palsson. Escher: a web application for building, sharing, and embedding data-rich visualizations of 366 biological pathways. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(8):e1004321, 2015.
- [16] Vincent Lacroix, Ludovic Cottret, Patricia Thébault, and Marie-France Sagot. An introduction to metabolic ₃₆₈ networks and their structural analysis. *IEEE/ACM transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics*, ³⁶⁹ **5(4):594–617, 2008.** 370
- [17] Julie Laniau, Clémence Frioux, Jacques Nicolas, Caroline Baroukh, Maria-Paz Cortes, Jeanne Got, Camille 371 Trottier, Damien Eveillard, and Anne Siegel. Combining graph and flux-based structures to decipher ₃₇₂ phenotypic essential metabolites within metabolic networks. *PeerJ*, 5:e3860, 2017. ³⁷³
- [18] Nathan E Lewis, Kim K Hixson, Tom M Conrad, Joshua A Lerman, Pep Charusanti, Ashoka D Polpitiya, 374 Joshua N Adkins, Gunnar Schramm, Samuel O Purvine, Daniel Lopez-Ferrer, et al. Omic data from evolved 375 *E. coli* are consistent with computed optimal growth from genome-scale models. *Molecular Systems Biology*, ³⁷⁶ **6(1):390, 2010.** 377
- [19] Hong-Wu Ma and An-Ping Zeng. The connectivity structure, giant strong component and centrality of 378 metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics*, 19(11):1423–1430, 2003. ³⁷⁹
- [20] Wout Megchelenbrink, Martijn Huynen, and Elena Marchiori. optgpsampler: an improved tool for ₃₈₀ uniformly sampling the solution-space of genome-scale metabolic networks. *PloS one*, 9(2):e86587, 2014. ₃₈₁
- [21] Edward J O'Brien, Jonathan M Monk, and Bernhard O Palsson. Using genome-scale models to predict 382 biological capabilities. *Cell*, 161(5):971–987, 2015. **383**

383
- [22] Jeffrey D Orth, Ronan MT Fleming, and Bernhard Ø Palsson. Reconstruction and use of microbial metabolic 384 networks: the core *Escherichia coli* metabolic model as an educational guide. *EcoSal plus*, 4(1), 2010. ³⁸⁵

